Approved For Release 2000/08/29 FOR RDP78-04724A000200050026-1

(3)

DEAFT

PRIVATE PROPERTY LOSS CLAIMS

sary to recommend that the approving authority disapprove an employee's claim for loss of his personal and household effects due to fire. The losses suffered by these employees were more severe due to the lack of insurance coverage.

Organization policy for honoring claims and the circumstances of these disapproved claims are summarized here that Organization personnel may be reminded of the necessity for making appropriate insurance arrangements to protect their private property.

As a general rule, civilian departments and organizations have no statutory authority to pay claims for damage or loss of private property. Insurance coverage is the personal responsibility of the individual employees, at their personal expense, if they desire protection against loss. Accordingly, employees of this Organization, should insure their privately owned property against the risk of loss or damage while in transit, storage, quarters, or other authorized places and situations, if they do not wish to personally accept that risk. The Organization does not provide insurance for such purposes and the fact that the Organization provides services and arrangements, and sometimes accepts custody, for storage, etc. does not mean that the Organization accepts total responsibility for the property.

25X1C

25X1C

Approved For Release 2000/08/29 CIARDP78-04724A000200050026-1



claims may be submitted to the Organization on account of the loss, damage, destruction, capture, or abandonment of privately owned property, which occurred without negligence on the part of the owner. These exercise claims will be honored when the training that operational circumstances or security factors directly related to Organization service, in the judgment of the reviewing authorities mer special consideration and justify reimbursement from Confidential Funds.

In the absence of insurance coverage, a claim will not be honored unless (1) security, cover or extenuating circumstances precluded proper insurance coverage, or (2) negligence on the part of the Organization is determined the principal cause of loss, or (3) the loss is a direct result of performance of operational duty, sensitive circumstances, or other extraordinary reasons which

Approved For Release 2000/08/29 : CIA-RDP78-04724A000200050026-1

25X1C

Approved For Release 2000/08/29 614 RDP78-04724A000200050026-1

merit special consideration.

or cover considerations preclude reimbursement by insurer and or otherwise

by another liable entity (commercial carrier, storage contractor,

25X1C

In the first disapproved claims an employee was transferred PCS overseas and the Organization provided services to the employee in arranging for pick-up and storage of his property by a commercial storage company, at Organization expense. atates that he The employee failed to insure because he indicated that he was not cognizant of that personal responsibility. He mistakenly assumed that the Organization was accepting total responsibility for the property and would do whatever was necessary in regard to insurance. Some time later, the storage contractor's warehouse was destroyed by fire. The contractor denied negligence and disclaimed responsibility for the loss of the employee's property (worth several thousand dollars) because the fire was probably attributable to a boy arsonist. The original claim and appeal were denied by the Organization because (1) there was no Organization responsibility for the property or loss thereof, and (2) the claimant was not precluded by security/cover considerations from seeking relief by contesting the contractor's denial through legal action, or by claiming against a private insurer, and (3) the claimant failed to carry insurance.

In the other disapproved claim, the Organization reimbursed the employee for rental of a private local residence which he leased near his overseas post, and

Approved For Release 2000/08/29 CIA PDP78-04724A000200050026-1

Approved For Release 2000/08/29 : 614 12 78-04724A000200050026-1

the Organization provided heaters for his benefit, and mustody. A fire destroyed the residence and all of the employee's clothing and household effects valued at several thousand dollars. The exact cause of the fire was not definitely established, but the local fire department reported that the most likely cause & was possibly defective heater. Although the Board was not unmindful of the magnitude and circumstances of this loss, it was necessary to disapprove the claim because;

25X1A



(1) The Organization had no custodial responsibility for the property and no maintenance responsibility for the heaters or quarters, even though the premises fell within the definition of government quarters provided under authority of

There was no evidence to indicate that the heaters were defective when issued.

25X1A

- (2) The claimant failed to carry insurance. The circumstances of his cover would not have precluded his making a claim against a private insurer.
- (3) The loss was not related to security cover factors of Organization service.

25X1C

25X1C